## **Japan's Reluctant Globalization**

## Brian J. McVeigh

## **Japan's Reluctant Globalization**

by Brian J. McVeigh

Review of Ulrike Schaede and William Grimes. Japan's Managed Globalization:

Adapting to the Twenty-first Century. Armonk, New York: M.E. Sharpe,

*Inc.,* 2003. xiii + 263 pp. Tables, figures, notes, index. \$73.95 (cloth),

ISBN 0-7656-0951-7; \$25.95 (paper), ISBN 0-7656-0952-5.

Starting with the famed "chrysanthemum-and-the-sword" description, Japan

seems to invite portrayals that attempt to capture contradictory or

ostensibly conflicting trends. Its political system has been described

as "patterned pluralism," "compartmentalized pluralism," and one of

"bureaucratic -led mass inclusion." Its economy is said to have "guided

markets" and "managed competition." Its capitalism has been

characterized as "nonliberal" (or even illiberal). It is a nation where

corporate concerns trump politics ("Japan Inc."). Internationally, it

is a "fragile superpower," an economic giant but a political dwarf.[1]

"Is-Japan-really-changing?" is another fixation that orients

interpretations of Japan.

In a highly readable, cogent, and important

volume, Ulrike Schaede and

William Grimes have put together a collection of articles that asks if

and how Japan is changing while offering another rendering of Japan's

political economics: "permeable insulation."

How has Japan, "a county that has resisted global rules for its domestic

markets for many years," responded to globalization? After all, no

other nation "seems more challenged by these pressures than Japan" (p.

xi). According to Schaede and Grimes, Japan has attempted to manage

globalization by controlling "both the speed and reach with which global

rules and markets affect domestic players" (p. xi). The authors in this

valuable collection of articles explore Japan's policy responses to

globalization--which have been "proactive and occasionally aggressive"

(p. xi)--from the angles of political science, business, law, and

economics. The first two chapters, which lay the groundwork for the

book's later contributions, comprise "Part I: Introduction." Chapters 3

through 6 contain "Part II: International Political Economy and

Permeable Insulation," while chapters 7 through 9 comprise "Part III:

Political Economy and Permeable Insulation."
Part IV consists of the
concluding chapter.

In chapter 1, Schaede and Grimes explain the meaning of "permeable

insulation" within the context of the major



structural change Japan's

industries have experienced (e.g. the relocation of production abroad

and deregulation). Chapter 2, "Japanese Policy Making in a World of

Constraints" (Grimes and Schaede), provides historical context for the

following chapters. This chapter, which provides a remarkably concise

backdrop for the non-specialist, is crucial, because it affords

a perspective of what has changed and what has not changed. Besides the

forces of globalization, the tectonic shifts in Japan's political

economy we are now witnessing have their roots in the past

(administrative reform and privatization, financial deregulation, fiscal

woes, declining industries). Grimes and Schaede outline the relation

between new global challenges and Japan's domestic political economic

scene that constrain policy responses. Politically, key considerations

include a party system still in flux since 1993 and the "ideological

interchangeability" of politicians which makes policymaking less

predictable and creates more cleavages. The result is new complications

in "compensation politics," or the redistributive balancing act between

civil servants, politicians, and businesses. Moreover, politicians have

a larger role vis-a-vis the bureaucrats. Such changes reverberate

throughout patterns of political support and relations between

politicians and bureaucrats, government and business, and state and

society. Economically, constraints on policy formation are seen in

government finance, the Fiscal and Investment and Loan Program, banking

regulations, monetary policy, changes in the corporate system, and the

challenges of new types of competition.

A major strength of this book is that all the chapters are neatly tied

together by the theme of permeable insulation. So what does this term

mean? Basically, it describes policies that "have at their core an

attempt at continued protection of domestic interests" (p. xi). It is

an effort to face "shifting comparative advantage" (p. 70). Permeable

insulation "means that Japan's response to the global and domestic

challenges of the 1990s is neither one of retreat and denial, nor one of

full acceptance of global standards and practices" (p. 8). It permits

"entry and market competition in areas where that is the best approach

for existing market players, while protecting (or allowing

self-protection in) less competitive sectors" (p. 244). Permeable

insulation characterizes policies that "continue to have at their core

an attempt to shield companies from full competition and the rigor of

the market forces" (p. 7). In this sense, it is a type of managed

competition. However, the "insulation" is not absolute, "but rather

allows for differentiated application by industry, institutions, or issue areas" (p. 7).

Permeable insulation appears to describe something quite familiar:

Japan's dual economy, since it "reinforces the bifurcation of Japanese

industries into world-competitive exporting sectors and domestically

focused, less efficient ones" (p. 18). The policy implications suggest  $\,$ 

"that those industries most in need of reform from a global trade  $% \left( 1\right) =\left( 1\right) \left( 1\right)$ 

perspective are precisely the ones where



change is least likely to

occur--either because the industry resists deregulation, or because

self-regulation is used for self-protection" (p. 252). Permeable

insulation also implies that not all parts of the state move in the same

policy direction, and consequently it encourages the formation of

clientelistic subgovernments (composed of knowledgeable politicians,

bureaucrats, and companies in a given sector that drive "compensation").

Japan's trading partners need to be aware that any policy that offers

opportunities has a downside of protectionism and hidden problems (p.

245). Methodologically, permeable insulation shows why

a firm-level or industry-level analysis is the most appropriate tactic

for understanding Japan's political economics (p. 245). It thus

demonstrates the need for more analytic precision and "introduces

differentiation, both by sector and issue area" (p. 7) and "calls for a

case-by-case evaluation of policy intent and policy outcome"(p. 4).

In chapter 3 Grimes explores attempts to make the yen a key currency in

Asia. The idea is to enhance economic integration with

other Asian nations. Moreover, and perhaps more significantly, the

Japanese economy would be insulated from major currency fluctuations.

However, to accomplish this internationalization of the yen, Japan would

have to liberalize its financial markets, a maneuver that does not

coincide with policies that affect the supply and demand of yen.

Therefore, according to Grimes, this is an example of

"internationalization as insulation" and the relevant policies contain

inherent contradictions: "all of the liberalizing measures were meant to

increase the confidence of the international market participants in

Japanese financial markets and the yen; but, in order to gain that

confidence, Japan would have to make itself vulnerable to the

cold-blooded rigor of the market" (p. 63).

Under GATT, Japan preferred bilateral resolution to legal

embroilment in the GATT dispute settlement process. But in a

significant change, Japan has more recently relied on the WTO to settle

disputes. This is a topic investigated by Saadia M. Pekkanen in chapter

4. Examining several cases, Pekkanen shows how Japan used WTO

rules as "both a 'shield' for controversial domestic policies and

measures, and as a 'sword' with which to challenge its trade partners"

(p. 78). Such "aggressive legalism" has opened overseas markets for

Japan while its leaders have devised industrial policies that circumvent

WTO rules. In this way protectionist polices have been maintained that

do not violate international agreements.

Mireya Solis, in chapter 5, considers the "multinationalization of

noncompetitive industries" and probes the state's role in encouraging

subsidized loans to outward-bound foreign direct investment. Such

support from the state has a dual purpose; it insulates Japanese firms

from the impact of a high yen (since the mid-1980s) and aids in

relocating small firms in declining sectors outside Japan. It also

helps to secure a supply of key raw materials for Japan's own market.

This facilitation of industrial restructuring by



shifting industries

abroad with eroding comparative advantage is surprising, given Japan's

record of protecting domestic industries and keeping labor satisfied.

But remarkably, there was an absence of labor opposition and the

Japanese state "managed to run the largest FDI program in the world

without antagonizing labor " (p. 119). This is partially explained by

the fact that research and development and high value-added activities remained in Japan.

In chapter 6 ("Integrated Production in East Asia: Globalization without

Insulation") Patricia Nelson asks "Could an economic structure designed

to support export promotion be reconciled with a growing dependence on

reverse imports?" (p. 125). She explains how by 2001, East Asia had

become the primary target of Japanese FDI. The original reason for

investing in East Asia and building integrated networks was to provide

the Japanese market with "lower-cost goods," not "inferior-quality goods."

In chapter 7 Mark Elder explores the policies of the Ministry of

Economics, Trade and Industry. For those interested in METI's

predecessor, MITI, this chapter is particularly interesting.

According to Elder, METI has shifted its priorities so as to be able to

respond to new global realities by implementing economy-wide

reforms (rather than within specific sectors), developing

market-conforming policies, and promoting emerging industries.

Nevertheless, existing industries still receive support from the

state, and significantly, "the pressures of globalization had by no

means forced METI to abandon its efforts to promote the

competitiveness of Japanese companies" (p. 162). Significantly,

Elder seems to suggest that METI's policies are not converging

with the Anglo-American model of minimally regulated markets.

In chapter 8 Schaede explains how deregulation has not led to

liberalized markets in all sectors and she explores the implications of

the shift from official regulation to self-regulation. Trade

associations have taken over the regulatory function of the state so

that self-regulation has increased. Self-regulation operates in two

ways: administrative (the rules of a particular industry) or protective

(entry barriers, boycotts, price restrictions). Industries that prefer

continued protection face little state interference, while more

competitive industries can eliminate protective barriers if they so

choose. This choice between self-protecting or self-promoting

constitutes a type of permeable insulation.

In Chapter 9 Christina L. Ahmadjian notes how discussions of corporate

governance revolved around two extremes: (1) convergence toward a global

standard (usually understood as "American") versus (2) the preservation

of distinctively Japanese elements with only superficial changes. She

then investigates how, despite all the recent attention given to a

"corporate governance crisis" (due in no small part to corporate

misbehavior), talk of reform, and demands that officers be held

accountable, changes "were of far less consequence than suggested by the

amount of publicity they received" (p. 216). In



any case, each firm

configures its own corporate organization and strategies as it sees fit.

Ahmadjian illustrates her contention by looking at "two pillars" of

corporate governance: executive compensation and board composition.

In the concluding chapter Schaede and Grimes outline some of the

long-term ramifications concerning permeable insulation. These

implications result from and affect political economic structures and

processes. In the political realm, they report that as industry

interests have become more diversified and budget constraints have

increased (thereby limiting the government's largess), ministries have

lost some of their traditional control. Thus, there has been a shift in

power from bureaucrats to politicians. This is an oft-heard view.

However, one must wonder what difference this shift will make if both

Diet members and civil servants believe in a coordinated capitalism

premised on an intractable economic nationalism. In the economic realm,

the dualism of Japan's economic structure (i.e. progressive,

internationally competitive sectors and slow growing or even declining,

domestically oriented companies) will continue to increase.

Schaede and Grimes note that if current policies become unsustainable,

Japan might become even more subject to intense global trends and

competition. If so, there is a chance that Japan will be forced to

become more permeable. This "might be understood as a harbinger of

convergence with global (or American) economic practices" (p. 251).

However, this appears as a distant possibility. For instance, as of

2001, with the exception of foreign investments in wholesale finance and

automobiles, other types of inbound FDI were "untouched." And the

tenacious nature or economic nationalism is evident in how some foreign

economic interests have been co-opted: "Once accepted as insiders,

foreign firms enjoyed the same protection that, as outsiders, they had

tried so hard to break up. Thus, even in industries with foreign

participation, more market openness was not necessarily bound to follow" (p. 252).

In the beginning of the book Schaede and Grimes introduce permeable

insulation by noting that by the late 1990s, there were two schools of

"change forecast": (1) Japan is not actually changing at the core, i.e.

it is still pursing protectionist polices and industrial promotion to

preserve its politic-economic system; (2) in order to regain its

competitive edge Japan will have to completely reform. Schaede and

Grimes claim to offer a third view: "Japan is in fact changing

significantly, leading to possible misinterpretation by those who adhere

to one of the two polar views" (p. 4). Of course, the "two schools"

appear to be theoretical straw men, and this reviewer is not completely

convinced that the third view is fundamentally different from the first school's position.

In any case, the issue here concerns how to define "change" and "reform."

When discussing Japan, observers often use these two terms

interchangeably. They shouldn't. Japan is certainly changing (and

always has been, for that matter). It may even



be reforming, though it

is doing so most likely along Japanese lines. For some, "change"

implies that Japan is reforming according to a neoliberal Anglo-American

agenda. After reading \_Japan's Managed Globalization this reviewer

believes Japan's core economic nationalism, despite some fine-tuning, is

not being fundamentally altered. For example, regardless of new

economic opportunities, "the overall data indicated that many of Japan's

product markets remained difficult to crack. As of 2001 there was still

little evidence of a fundamental, comprehensive opening of the Japanese economy.

Thus, globalization and insulation coexisted in striking, and

sometimes uneasy, patterns" (p. 38). This is why we should begin to

wonder how seriously the major politicoeconomic actors are interested

in fundamental change. In spite of announcing "almost too many

deregulation programs to count" (p. 191), we still find that most

markets in Japan "continue to be dominated by Japanese firms" (p. 192)

after twenty years of deregulation. Despite the state's withdrawal from

some regulatory responsibilities, traces of a "privatized" mercantilism

are still visible in the self-regulation of industries. This indicates

how widespread economic nationalism is, regardless of whether it is a

ministry, a trade association, or private industry doing the regulating.

Ahmadjian concludes that the relative lack of reform, at least in

corporate governance, "suggests that despite the rhetoric, Japan was not

converging with global practices" (p. 237). And consider the major

reorganization of Japan's ministries in 2001: "An analysis of the actual

structure of the new ministries and their tasks, however, suggests that

there is some old wine in the new bottles" (p. 247). As Schaede and

Grimes suggest, the policies of permeable insulation may merely reflect

nervousness about rapid global change (visible in many nations).

However, in Japan "they follow a familiar trajectory of policies and

processes practiced for decades in Japan. The ubiquity of government

intervention, of industry associations as drivers of sectoral policy,

and of corporate governance for the benefit of management rather than

shareholders, mean that Japan's response to the generic pressures of

globalization remains distinctive" (p. 254). Japan is "adapting to new

world rules of globalization while maintaining pockets of its old

mercantilist approaches at home" (p. 18). Indeed, but the key question

is how significant are these "pockets" for the global economy. Schaede

and Grimes point out that permeable insulation is not unique to Japan:

"in fact, one could argue that many governments follow a similar dual approach to policy-making" (p. 244). If this is indeed the case, what

is distinctive about Japan's own permeable insulation?

That crucial questions about "change" (and its rate), the meaning of

reform, and the different types of capitalism now competing on the world

stage emerge from a set of well written, richly detailed, and carefully

researched pieces is a major strength of this must-read book. The

reader is provided with plenty of food for thought for answering such

questions. This volume is indispensable reading



for government

policymakers, business people, academics, and anyone seriously

concerned with Japan's future direction as well as the prospects for global trade.

## Note

[1]. See Ruth Benedict, \_The Chrysanthemum and the Sword: Patterns of

Japanese Culture\_ (London: Secker and Warburg, 1947); Frank Gibney,

\_Japan, the Fragile Superpower\_ (New York: Norton, 1979); Inoquchi

Takashi, \_Gendai nihon seiji keizai no kozu: seifu to shijo (Tokyo:

Toyo Keizai Shimposha, 1983); Michio Muramatsu and Ellis Krauss, "The

Conservative Party Line and the Development of Patterned Pluralism," in

\_The Political Economy of Japan Vol.1\_, eds. Kozo Yamamura and Yasukichi

Yasuba (Stanford: Stanford University Press, 1987), pp. 516-554; Sato

Seizaburo and Matsuzaki Tetsuhisa, \_Jiminto seiken (Tokyo:

Chuokoron-sha, 1986); Wolfgang Streeck and Kozo Yamamura (eds) The

Origins of Nonliberal Capitalism: Germany and Japan in Comparison\_

(Ithaca, NY: Cornell University Press, 2001).

This is a slightly abbreviated version of a review that appeared at H-US-Japan on April 11, 2005. Posted at Japan Focus on May 2, 2005.

Brian McVeigh teaches in the Department of East Asian Studies, University of Arizona. His numerous books on Japan include Nationalisms of Japan. Managing and Mystifying Diversity