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Revisionist academics and best selling authors fuel a revival of nationalism that is poisoning Japan’s relations with neighboring nations.

Tokyo~ Ko Bunyu's comic book Introduction to China is not for the fainthearted. In 300 graphic pages, it claims that the Chinese are incapable of democracy, practice cannibalism, and have the world's leading sex economy. In one sequence, famous political figures say the country is the source of most of Asia's contagious diseases. In another, illustrated with naked, spread-eagled women, China is said to have exported 600,000 "AIDS-infested" prostitutes.

Mr. Ko spends much of the quieter moments in the comic book developing an unusual historical narrative: that China, not Japan, was the aggressor in the Pacific war.

"The only good thing to come out of that country is its food," says Mr. Ko, a semiretired professor here at Takushoku University, where he teaches comparative culture.

The Taiwanese-born author is one of the more toxic figures in a burgeoning Japanese revisionist movement that encompasses academe, popular culture, and much of the ruling Liberal Democratic Party. The project that unites them is, in effect, a revisionist revolution: an attempt to overturn much of the well-documented historical record that is the foundation for accepted wisdom about what took place during imperial Japan's sweep across Asia in the 1930s and 40s.
If these academic revisionists have their way, the Nanjing massacre of 1937, in which tens of thousands of Chinese civilians were killed by Japanese soldiers, and other notorious incidents from that era will vanish from Japanese history books and consciousness, along with accounts that it was Japan that started a war of aggression in Asia. Tensions over the massacre are bound to sharpen as its 70th anniversary, in mid-December, draws near.

Disputed history, sex, and politics have long been grist for the mill of Japan's small army of comic-book artists, who regularly use the format, known as manga, to tackle taboo subjects or whitewash Japanese war crimes. Introduction to China goes a step further and blames the most brutal of these crimes, the Nanjing massacre, on the Chinese themselves.

"It is absolutely clear that Nanjing is a fabrication," says Fujioka Nobukatsu, who, like Mr. Ko, teaches at Takushoku. Mr. Fujioka dismisses the extensive oral and documentary evidence of atrocities after Nanjing fell to the Japanese army in December 1937.

"The Chinese figure of 300,000 civilian deaths is nonsense," says Mr. Fujioka. "There was no massacre of civilians or illegal killings. Perhaps 15,000 Chinese soldiers died." In using the highest Chinese claims of deaths to discredit reports of the massacre, Fujioka and other neonationalists ignore the careful documentation compiled by Japanese and other historians. More important, they ignore the systematic repression in the course of a Japanese invasion and war that took a toll in lives of well over ten million Chinese.

Mr. Fujioka, 63, an education professor who also teaches cultural anthropology, has never written a serious academic history of Japanese war crimes, although he did help write a 1999 book on Chinese propaganda and Nanjing. Like many of the most vocal Japanese revisionists,
he relies mainly on such popular forums as
general-interest magazines and newspapers to
air his views.

He recently won an award as columnist of the
year from the right-leaning Sankei Shimbun, a
Tokyo newspaper; previous winners include
Ishihara Shintaro, the city’s nationalist
governor.

Mr. Ko is not a historian, either, but a prolific
writer of populist books, sometimes publishing
four or five a year, with titles that seem
designed to provoke. Recent additions include
The Ugly Chinaman and South Korea Was Built
by the Japanese.

Into the Mainstream

People with such views have hovered on the
fringes of Japanese academe for years. But over
the past decade they have gained popularity
with the general public. Twelve of the 18
members of Japan’s current cabinet belong to a
political forum that backs many of Mr. Fujioka’s
views and wants to "rethink" Japan’s history
education. The Society for History Textbook
Reform, an organization he helped set up in
1997, has sold about 800,000 copies of a
revisionist high-school history book, although
protests have kept it out of all but a handful of
schools. Before coming to power, Prime
Minister Abe Shinzo was one of the society’s
better-known supporters.

Much of the most sophisticated research on the
atrocities committed by Japanese troops during
World War II, including the Nanjing massacre
and the use of Chinese women as sex slaves —
so-called comfort women — occurs in Japanese
academe, although only a tiny fraction appears
in English. But observers say that peculiarities
of Japanese academic publishing, especially the
comparative lack of peer review, have skewed
the debate.

Many professors publish in in-house journals
that Nakano Koichi, a political scientist at
Sophia University, says too often produce junk.
"They often publish whatever is produced in the
faculty, and they are not reviewed from
outside, so the distinction between genuinely
academic work and popular work is very thin," he
says. "Some academics make a career out of
publishing in general publications rather than
academic journals as Americans would
understand them."

While the details and the number of deaths
continue to be debated, most historians agree
that the Nanjing massacre — also known as the
"Rape of Nanjing" — was an atrocity, in which
80,000 or more Chinese civilians and
surrendered soldiers were killed (the
International Military Tribunal on the Far East
in 1946 considered credible a figure of
200,000) and tens of thousands of women
raped following the Japanese capture of the
city. Despite compelling documentary evidence,
eyewitness accounts and photographic
evidence, Japanese revisionists continue to
reject charges that war crimes and atrocities
occurred there.

The country’s undigested war history continues
to poison one of the world’s most important
bilateral relationships. Recent anti-Japanese
riots in China have forced Beijing and Tokyo to
set up a joint education panel to narrow major
differences of interpretation over wartime
events. Some on the Japanese side argue that
Nanjing has become so politicized —
particularly the often-cited figure of 300,000
deaths — that measured academic discussion
has become almost impossible.

"It is very difficult indeed," says Kitaoka
Shinichi, a law professor at Tokyo University
who is part of the Japanese delegation to the
panel. "But we have to find some way of
narrowing the gap between us."

Mr. Fujioka opposes such discussions, arguing
that Japanese academics have nothing to gain
by talking to their Chinese counterparts. "There is no point in talks," he says. "The Chinese government has decided there was a massacre — so what good can come out of them?"

At least half a dozen movies on the Nanjing massacre are in the pipeline, including one sponsored by the Beijing government and based on Iris Chang's 1997 nonfiction best seller, The Rape of Nanking: The Forgotten Holocaust of World War II.

In response the Japanese director Mizushima Satoru says he will draw on the revisionist work of Higashinakano Shudo, a professor of intellectual history at Asia University, in Tokyo, to argue that the rape of Nanjing is a hoax. The movie has the support of a group of 12 lawmakers and a panel of academics.

Mr. Higashinakano, who declined to comment for this article, and Mr. Fujioka are the leading figures in what has been called the maboroshihai, or illusion school, of Nanjing research, which rejects all allegations of war crimes in the taking of the city. Mr. Higashinakano says 30,000 published photos of events from the massacre are faked. The two professors' work is opposed by many academics in Japan and even by some within the revisionist school, who say that while there are doubts about the casualty figures, their research lacks credibility.

"There are a lot of crazy people on both sides who collect around the Nanjing debate," says Hata Ikuhiko, a history professor at Nihon University who wrote the seminal 1986 book Nankin Jiken (The Nanjing Incident), one of the few serious revisionist publications on the event.

Hata argues that roughly 40,000 Chinese died in the taking of the city, although he disputes that the term "massacre" can be applied to the simultaneous killing of captured soldiers and says wartime Chinese propaganda inflated the casualty figures.

Mr. Hata points out that many of the most active revisionist war-crimes scholars are not historians. Still, he thinks, there is room for them in the intellectual bazaar.

"They're often ridiculous, but the level of understanding by ordinary Japanese people about this issue is very high," he says. "I trust public opinion when it comes to judging for themselves." He says the illusion-school faction is a hakeguchi — an outlet for frustrations in Japan after years of what are seen as inflated claims about Japanese war crimes.
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"No Debate"

Harsher critics of the illusion school say its members do not belong in any serious scholarly discussion. "These academics are not interested in a debate," says Mr. Nakano, of Sophia University. "What they do is to smear and undermine existing research. They cast doubt rather than illuminate."

Mr. Nakano says that while the revisionists have helped popularize a once-taboo discussion, their pulp publications, with huge readerships, are "pushing the trained historians out of the public debate about war crimes."
Unlike Germany, which criminalized the denial of gross crimes of genocide, in Japan, denials of well-documented atrocities have repeatedly come from leading politicians. Mr. Abe, the prime minister, recently sparked outrage in Asia and the United States when he said there was no evidence that Japan's wartime government or military had enslaved thousands of comfort women, despite overwhelming documentation and a 1993 admission by Tokyo that it had.

Few universities have taken action against revisionist academics. Once tenured, professors are difficult to remove from Japanese faculties, which in any case are seldom openly confrontational.

Mr. Ko says he is well liked by Takushoku University administrators, although he adds that he has been attacked by student protesters. A spokesperson for Takushoku, which has hundreds of Chinese students and a campus song with the words, "I will not discriminate by color of race or border of place," says the university does not wish to comment.

Most observers say trying to silence the deniers would play into their hands, allowing them to argue that their right to free speech has been denied.

"Freedom of expression in Japan is one of the most important differences with China," says Mr. Hata, the history professor at Nihon. "We have no need for laws to regulate what Mr. Higashinakano and others say."

The revisionists, then, are free to keep sharpening their rhetorical blades, whatever the consequences. What started out as an apparent effort to play down a dark period in Japanese history has become an exercise in outright denial.

"Some of the older [revisionist] academics may be overwhelmed by what is happening," says Mr. Nakano, of Sophia University. "They may have created a monster that is out of control."
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