

Kyoto Korea Town fights for survival

David McNeill, Andreas Hippin

Kyoto Korea Town fights for survival

One of Japan's longest-running legal feuds may be about to erupt again, driven by worsening Korea-Japan relations.

By David McNeill and Andreas Hippin

Bony, 80-year-old body floating around inside a nylon shirt and cigarette permanently clamped between what appear to be her two remaining front teeth; Kan Kyon Nam is an unlikely illegal squatter.

But frail or not, if the bulldozers come she wants it known there'll be trouble. "If they try to evict me and demolish my house I'll die under it," she says. "There's no point in trying to stay alive otherwise at my age."

Fighting talk comes easy to the older residents of Utoro, a tiny Korean village of rickety houses in Uji City, Kyoto, which has struggled to avoid being wiped from the map for over half a century.

Kin Sunae, Kan Kyon Nam, and Gen Akio in the village.

One of Japan's longest-running social disputes, Utoro has been largely forgotten here, but across the Japan Sea many see this community of 230 people as a living symbol of the hardships of Korean immigrants.

Now, against a background of soured bilateral

relations, the village is again back in the media spotlight.

With the 60th anniversary of the end of World War II approaching, South Korean TV networks plan Utoro specials along with several major publications, including the progressive weekly *Hankyoreh* 21 which will run a series of two-page articles every week calling for donations to save the village.

One television company plans a five-hour live broadcast including a solidarity concert on August 15, the anniversary of the end of the war.

Last month Lee Kwang Chol of the ruling Uri party and Na Kyung Won of the opposition Grand National Party founded a parliamentary study group to explore the possibility of buying the village from its current owner, a Japanese-Korean property developer. An umbrella organization called "International Solidarity to Protect Utoro" has started collecting money.

"We received five Million Won on the first day," claims secretary general Bae Jee Won, who says the movement's slogan is: "Bring Utoro back to life after 60 stolen years." Supporters include the Korean International Network and the National Council of Churches in Korea.

Why all the attention for a tiny enclave squeezed up against a Self Defense Force base? The story of these settlers resonates strongly in Korea, where anti-Japanese sentiment is again growing amidst rekindled disputes over how Japan records and commemorates its war history and conflicting territorial claims to the Tokdo/Takeshima islands.

“The survivors of Utoro are a symbol of Japanese colonialism”, says Bae. “Their story caused me heartache and I thought: It cannot go on like this. Japan has to develop into an open society. That’s why Utoro should be saved.”

Utoro’s story began in the 1930s and 40s when Kan was among millions who came to Japan from the Korean Peninsula, many forcibly, to work in factories, mines and military installations. When the war ended and the local Nissan factory switched from making warplanes to cars, many stayed and began working for a Nissan subsidiary.

Kan and some 1,300 Koreans built homes on a plot of land owned by the company, raised children and tried to hold on to their shared identity. Then in 1987, they claim, Nissan secretly sold the 5-acre plot to a land developer, making the entire village illegal squatters and sparking a legal battle that continues to this day.

The fight is bitter and emotional. The Utoro homepage describes Korean residents, many of them second or third generation, as “aliens in the land where they were born,” and accuses Japanese officials of “converting from a wartime policy of cultural genocide into a peacetime system of apartheid. “Naturalization is a process rooted in racism” it says before warning that bulldozers will have to “run over” the residents before they’ll leave.

While the rhetoric of resistance remains fierce, the number of residents has dropped to 230 as many have left the village and assimilated. “The younger people are going and leaving behind the old,” says Gen Akio, who leads the village residents association.

In 1989, a real-estate company took the 69 families of Utoro to court, demanding their evacuation and demolition of the houses.

The fight went all the way to the Supreme

Court, which ordered the village cleared in 2000. But developers have so far balked at the prospect of driving demolition crews and bulldozers through the homes of tough pensioners like Kan Kyon Nam. A new owner promises to resolve the issue and there is talk of an inspection by the UN Human Rights Commission, but nobody is holding their breath.

In Seoul the movement has picked up support in the last year from activists incensed at the looming destruction of what they consider a historically unique village. “The issue has some momentum in Korean society now,” says Professor Han Hong Koo, who teaches modern Korean history at Sungkonghoe University and who believes Utoro is probably the last collective residential area in Japan inhabited by conscripted Koreans.

“When Koreans were conscripted to Japan, many did not have enough money for individual accommodation. So they built big houses for seven or eight families to live together. Two or three of these houses are still left in Utoro and one of them could be a history museum. The house would tell the story of the hardships Koreans had to endure in Japan.”

Kang Je Suk, secretary general of the citizens’ group Peace Project Network believes the movement to protect Utoro is “only beginning,” and is critical of how the issue of Korean forced labor posed by Utoro has been ignored in Japan. “While the abduction of a few Japanese nationals to North Korea was a big topic, the kidnapping of thousands of Koreans during the colonial period to Japan was not. Utoro is not in the news either.”

The renewed attention and the possibility that the Utoro plot will be bought by supporters and preserved as a memorial to conscripted Koreans, is welcomed by Gen, but he is wary of being used for political purposes. “There are the people who want to continue living here

and those who want to preserve the history. We are not especially interested in a museum, but some want to force Japan to pay for one as a way of acknowledging the past.”

In the village, banners and signs promise no surrender to the bulldozers as Kan and other old-timers fan themselves in the heat and remember the past. “This place can tell some stories,” she says. “I could cry when I think of the hard times we endured to get here.”

Third-generation Korean resident Mari Hamanoi says she wants her children to grow up here. “It’s a nice place to live, a solid

community. I don’t want the history of this place to die.”

This article was prepared for Japan Focus and posted on July 23, 2005.

Dr. David McNeill is a freelance writer and teacher at Sophia University in Tokyo. Andreas Hippin is a freelance writer whose work has appeared at JAPAN AKTUELL, The Japan Times, Kansai Time Out, The Korea Times and SPIEGEL Online. Andreas is working on a PhD thesis on religious dimensions of the political thought of Japan's New Right. The authors are Japan Focus associates.